


Academic Dishonesty: Current Policy



1. The principles of truth and honesty are recognized as fundamental to a community of teachers and scholars. The University expects that both faculty and students will honor these principles and in so doing protect the validity of University grades. This means that all academic work will be done by the student to whom it is assigned, without unauthorized aid of any kind. (See General Student Regulation 1.00, Scholarship and Grades, for specific regulations.) Instructors, for their part, will exercise care in the planning and supervision of academic work, so that honest effort will be positively encouraged.

2. If any instance of academic dishonesty is discovered by an instructor, it is his or her responsibility to take appropriate action. Depending on his or her judgment of the particular case, he or she may give a failing grade to the student on the assignment or for the course.

3. In instances where a failing grade in a course is given only for academic dishonesty, the instructor will notify the student's academic dean in writing of the circumstances.

4. The student who receives a failing grade based on a charge of academic dishonesty may appeal a judgment made by a department, school, or a college. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.

5. When, in the judgment of the academic dean, action other than, or in addition to, a failing grade is warranted, the dean will refer the case to the college-level hearing board which shall have original jurisdiction. In cases of ambiguous jurisdiction, the appropriate judiciary will be randomly selected by the associate provost from one of the three core colleges. Appeals from the judgment may be made to the All-University Academic Integrity Review Board. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.

6. In instances of academic dishonesty where the instructor feels that action other than, or in addition to, a failing grade in the course is warranted, the instructor will report the case to his or her departmental or school chairperson and to the student's academic dean. The dean will then refer the case to the college-level hearing board, which shall have original jurisdiction. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.

INTEGRITY OF SCHOLARSHIP AND GRADES

The following statement of University policy was approved by the Academic Council and the Academic Senate, and serves as the definitive statement of principle and procedure to be used in instances of academic dishonesty.

1. The principles of truth and honesty are recognized as fundamental to a community of teachers and scholars. The University expects that both faculty and students will honor these principles and in so doing protect the validity of University grades. This means that all academic work will be done by the student to whom it is assigned, without unauthorized aid of any kind. (See General Student Regulation 1.00, Scholarship and Grades, for specific regulations.) Instructors, for their part, will exercise care in the planning and supervision of academic work, so that honest effort will be positively encouraged.
2. If any instance of academic dishonesty is discovered by an instructor, it is his or her responsibility to take appropriate action. Depending on his or her judgment of the particular case, he or she may give a lowered or failing grade to the student on the assignment or for the course. The instructor shall also report the instance of academic dishonesty in writing to the student's academic dean.
3. The student who receives a penalty grade based on a charge of academic dishonesty may appeal a judgment made by a department, school, or a college. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.
4. A dean receiving notification of academic dishonesty on the part of a student shall place the documentation in the student's academic record.
5. When, in the judgment of the academic dean, action other than, or in addition to, a penalty grade is warranted, the dean will refer the case to the college-level hearing board which shall have original jurisdiction. In cases of ambiguous jurisdiction, the appropriate judiciary will be randomly selected by the associate provost from one of the three core colleges. Appeals from the judgment may be made to the All-University Academic Integrity Review Board. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.
6. If, when a dean receives notification of academic dishonesty, there is an existing record of academic dishonesty in the student's academic record, the dean must refer the case to the college-level hearing board, which shall have original jurisdiction.
7. In instances of academic dishonesty where the instructor feels that action other than, or in addition to, a penalty grade is warranted, the instructor will

Ralph Putnam 2/23/07 10:02 AM

Deleted: -

3. In instances where a failing grade in a course is given only for academic dishonesty, the instructor will notify the student's academic dean in writing of the circumstances. -

Ralph Putnam 3/5/07 7:22 PM

Deleted: failing

Ralph Putnam 2/23/07 10:15 AM

Comment: Need to specify—either here in policy or as part of related practice and procedures—what academic record means. Where will it go and who will be able to see it? Ideally we want easily accessible to Dean's office but not others

Ralph Putnam 3/15/07 9:58 AM

Deleted: fa

Ralph Putnam 3/15/07 9:58 AM

Deleted: iling

Ralph Putnam 3/5/07 7:24 PM

Deleted: failing

Ralph Putnam 3/5/07 7:24 PM

Deleted: in the course

report the case to his or her departmental or school chairperson and to the student's academic dean. The dean will then refer the case to the college-level hearing board, which shall have original jurisdiction. Refer to Academic Freedom for Students at Michigan State University.